

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/603,838	KASZTELAN ET AL.
	Examiner Christina Ildebrando	Art Unit 1725

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christina Ildebrando. (3)_____.

(2) James Ruland. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 08 January 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All, generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed amending the claims to positively recite that the porous network of the zeolite contains a Group VIb metal. The examiner indicated that such an amendment appeared to overcome the various rejections under 35 USC 103 over Pollitzer in view of Jan, set forth in the final office action mailed 10/17/02. However, the examiner indicated that such an amendment would not be entered after final, as it would require further search and/or consideration. Applicant also provided a Declaration to replace the facsimile copy sent 1/7/03. The original Declaration has been attached to the Interview Summary Sheet.